MDG

Table 1. Indexing of selected lines

		NBS		Present work			
Line no.	Observed $\sin \theta / \lambda$	$I/I_0$	hkl	$\overbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Calculated} \\ \sin \theta / \lambda \end{array} }^{\text{Calculated}}$	$pF_0^2$	hkl	
13	0.1553	50	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 206\\040\end{array}\right.$	$\left\{\begin{array}{l} 0.1553\\ 0.1554\\ 0.1556\end{array}\right.$	146 50 109	206 040 117	
44	0.2743	2	2,2,12	$\left\{\begin{array}{l}0.2738\\0.2742\end{array}\right.$	58 116	2,4,10 2,2,12	
48	0.2902	7	4,2,10	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0.2901 \\ 0.2903 \end{array} \right.$	97 53	0,4,12 $4,2,10$	
51	0.3018	2	357*	0.3017	58	537	
5 <b>3</b>	0.3041	3	462	$\left\{\begin{array}{l} 0.3038 \\ 0.3041 \\ 0.3042 \end{array}\right.$	$\begin{array}{c} 22 \\ 36 \\ 2 \end{array}$	519 3,1,13 462	
56	0.3108	1	080	0.3107	48	4,0,12	
				0.3108	1	080	
				0.3109	0	177	
57	0.3111	2	$\left\{\begin{array}{c}177\\2,2,14\end{array}\right.$	0.3112	160	2,2,14	
			555	0.3243	4	555	
61	0.3242	< l	2,6,10	0.3243	62	2,6,10	
68	0.3475	1	286	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0.3474 \\ 0.3475 \end{array} \right.$	$\begin{array}{c} 116 \\ 20 \end{array}$	$\frac{4,4,12}{286}$	
69	0.3482	1	646	$\left\{\begin{array}{l}0.3479\\0.3483\end{array}\right.$	$\begin{array}{c} 29 \\ 44 \end{array}$	$\substack{5,3,11\\646}$	
72	0.3594	1	733	$\left\{\begin{array}{l}0.3591\\0.3594\end{array}\right.$	22 36	5,1,13 $733$	
75	0-3671	< 1	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 573\\482\end{array}\right.$	$\left\{\begin{array}{l} 0.3671 \\ 0.3671 \\ 0.3674 \end{array}\right.$	$     \begin{array}{c}       10 \\       32 \\       40     \end{array} $	573 482 195	
76	0.3687	1	0,6,14	$\left\{\begin{array}{l}0.3685\\0.3688\end{array}\right.$	48 34	$735 \\ 0,6,14$	
77	0.3694	2	660	$\left\{\begin{array}{l}0.3692\\0.3694\end{array}\right.$	$\frac{96}{101}$	1,3,17 660	

<sup>\* (</sup>A typographical error, private communication, H. E. Swanson to co-editor.)

quantities do not differ appreciably from those given by Abrahams, but the average bond distance is 0.007 Å, or  $2.9\sigma$ , larger than his value.

This work was supported in part by the Office of Ordnance Research, U.S. Army. Some of the calculations were performed in the Western Data Processing Center. We wish to thank Dr Abrahams for sending us his manuscript in advance of publication.

## References

ABRAHAMS, S. C. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 661. ABRAHAMS, S. C. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 311.

Batuecas, T. & Losa, C. G. (1951). An. Soc. esp. Fis. Quin. B, 47, 5.

SWANSON, H. E., COOK, M. I., ISAACS, T. & EVANS, E. H. (1960). National Bureau of Standards Circular 539, 9, 54.

Acta Cryst. (1961). 14, 549

Cell dimensions and space group of bismuth(I) chloro-aluminate. By H. A. Levy, P. A. Agron, M. D. Danford and R. D. Ellison, Chemistry Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee,\* U.S.A.

(Received 29 November 1960)

The cell dimensions and probable space group of bismuth(I) chloroaluminate were determined from crystals of this compound (Corbett & McMullen, 1956) grown from the melt under helium. Because the compound is unstable in the presence of moisture, single crystals were transferred to thin-walled glass capillary tubes under mineral oil; powder diffraction samples were prepared in a drybox and kept in sealed enclosures while being used.

<sup>\*</sup> Work performed for the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, operated by the Union Carbide Corporation, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Table 1. Interplanar spacings for BiAlCl<sub>4</sub>

(Hexagonal indices)

h $k$ $l$	$d_c$	$d_o$	$I_{0}$	1	h k l	$d_c$	$d_o$	$I_0$
$0 \ 0 \ 3$	$9.997 \; { m \AA}$			1	1 0 10	$2.878 \; { m \AA}$	2·877 Å	7
1 0 1	9.699				2 1 7	2.873		
$0 \ 1 \ 2$	8.462	8.456  Å	100*		2 2 3	2.837	2.839	36
Impurity		6.970	$\sim$ 5†		131	2.830		
1 0 4	6.051		,		3 0 6	2.821		
1 1 0	5.918	5.913	32*		3 1 2	2.793		
0 1 5	$5 \cdot 177$				1 2 8	$2 \cdot 694$	2.695	5*
1 1 3	5.092	5.090	7*		1 3 4	2.658	2.659	16*
$0 \ 2 \ 1$	5.051				0 111	$2 \cdot 635$		
$0 \ 0 \ 6$	4.998	5.001	7		0 2 10	2.588		
$2 \ 0 \ 2$	4.849	4.852	31*		3 1 5	2.569		
Impurity		4.699	$\sim$ 5 $\dagger$		401	2.553		
0 2 4	4.231				$2 \ 2 \ 6$	2.546	2.546	14
1 0 7	3.953				0 4 2	2.526		
$2 \ 0 \ 5$	3.896				0 0 12	2.499	2.500	8*
$2 \ 1 \ 1$	3.842	3.840	15		404	$2 \cdot 425$		
1 1 6	3.818				$2 \ 0 \ 11$	2.407		
1 2 2	3.751				3 0 9	2.385		
0 1 8	3.521				2 1 10	$2 \cdot 371$		
$2 \ 1 \ 4$	3.442	3.440	7*		1 3 7	2.369		
3 0 0	3.416				0 4 $5$	2.356		
Impurity		3.336	$\sim$ 5†		3 2 1	$2 \cdot 344$	$2 \cdot 346$	7*
0 0 9	3.332		•	ļ	2  3  2	2.323		
$0 \ 2 \ 7$	3.287			÷ .	1 1 12	2.302		
1 2 5	3.254	3.254	10*	ļ	3 1 8	2.265	$2 \cdot 264$	7*
3 0 3	3.233				1 0 13	$2 \cdot 251$		
2 0 8	3.026	3.025	13*	,	$3 \ 2 \ 4$	2.244		
$2 \ 2 \ 0$	2.959			!	4 1 0	2.237	$2 \cdot 236$	5
1 1 9	2.904				1 2 11	2.230		

<sup>\*</sup> Observations that were used in the least squares refinement of the cell.

Single crystal diffraction patterns prepared on the precession camera showed the crystal to be rhombohedral. Powder patterns obtained using Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation with a special diffractometer ordinarily used for liquids (Agron, Danford, Bredig, Levy & Sharrah, 1957) were indexed on the basis of the cell found from the single crystal data. The powder data, corrected for instrumental errors by the use of  $Y_2O_3$  ( $a_0=10.594$  Å) as an internal standard, were used to obtain a least squares refinement of the cell dimensions. (The cell constant of  $Y_2O_3$  had previously been refined using powdered Ni metal ( $a_0=3.525$  Å) as an internal standard). The hexagonal unit cell of BiAlCl<sub>4</sub> is

$$a = 11.835 \pm 0.003$$
,  $c = 29.991 \pm 0.009 \text{ Å}$ ,

corresponding to the rhombohedral cell

$$a = 12.109 \pm 0.002 \text{ Å}, \ \alpha = 58^{\circ} \ 29' \pm 2'.$$

The observed interplanar spacings are compared with those calculated on the basis of this cell in Table 1.

The usual methods of density measurement were not feasible because of the instability of the compound in the presence of most supporting liquids. A rough value of 2.9 g.cm.<sup>-3</sup> (probably a lower limit) was obtained by weighing and measuring, in the dry box, a cylinder cast from the melt. This value indicates that the number of

molecules in the rhombohedral cell is probably 6, for which the calculated density is 3·11 g.cm.<sup>-3</sup>.

Precession patterns of the hexagonal levels h0l, h1l, and h2l show the systematic absences

$$h\overline{h}l$$
 absent if  $l \neq 2n$ ,  
 $hkl$  absent if  $-h+k+l \neq 3n$ .

The space groups consistent with these absences are R3c and  $R\overline{3}c$ . Since the general positions of  $R\overline{3}c$  are 12-fold (rhombohedral cell), and the 6-fold special positions have at most one variable parameter, it is not possible, on the basis of this space group, to find positions for the aluminum and chlorine ions that accommodate the expected tetrahedra of  $AlCl_4^-$ . The probable space group is, then, R3c.

Diffraction studies of the melt and considerations of the probable arrangement of bismuth(1) in a trimeric ion in both the solid and liquid states are reported elsewhere (Levy, Bredig, Danford & Agron, 1960).

## References

AGRON, P. A., DANFORD, M. D., BREDIG, M. A., LEVY,
H. A. & SHARRAH, P. C. (1957). Acta Cryst. 10, 739.
CORBETT, J. D. & McMullen, R. K. (1956). J. Amer.
Chem. Soc. 78, 2906.

LEVY, H. A., Bredig M. A. Danford, M. D. & Agron. P. A. (1960). J. Phys. Chem. 64, 1959.

<sup>†</sup> The three reflections ascribed to impurity appeared with varying intensity on different patterns.